

Integrative and Comparative Biology

Integrative and Comparative Biology, volume 60, number 1, pp. 134–139 doi:10.1093/icb/icaa034

Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology

SYMPOSIUM INTRODUCTION

Long Limbless Locomotors Over Land: The Mechanics and Biology of Elongate, Limbless Vertebrate Locomotion

Henry C. Astley¹

Biomimicry Research & Innovation Center, Department of Biology & Polymer Science, University of Akron, 235 Carroll St, Akron, OH 44325, USA

From the symposium "Long Limbless Locomotors: The Mechanics and Biology of Elongate, Limbless Vertebrate Locomotion" presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology January 3–7, 2020 at Austin, Texas.

¹E-mail: hastley@uakron.edu

Synopsis Elongate, limbless body plans are widespread in nature and frequently converged upon (with over two dozen independent convergences in Squamates alone, and many outside of Squamata). Despite their lack of legs, these animals move effectively through a wide range of microhabitats, and have a particular advantage in cluttered or confined environments. This has elicited interest from multiple disciplines in many aspects of their movements, from how and when limbless morphologies evolve to the biomechanics and control of limbless locomotion within and across taxa to its replication in elongate robots. Increasingly powerful tools and technology enable more detailed examinations of limbless locomotor biomechanics, and improved phylogenies have shed increasing light on the origins and evolution of limblessness, as well as the high frequency of convergence. Advances in actuators and control are increasing the capability of "snakebots" to solve real-world problems (e.g., search and rescue), while biological data have proven to be a potent inspiration for improvements in snakebot control. This collection of research brings together prominent researchers on the topic from around the world, including biologists, physicists, and roboticists to offer new perspective on locomotor modes, musculoskeletal mechanisms, locomotor control, and the evolution and diversity of limbless locomotion.

Serpents are too commonly looked down upon as animals degraded from a higher type; but their whole organisation, and especially their bony structure, demonstrate that their parts are as exquisitely adjusted to the form of their whole, and to their habits and sphere of life, as is the organisation of any animal which we call superior to them. It is true that the serpent has no limbs, yet it can outclimb the monkey, outswim the fish, outleap the jerboa, and, suddenly loosing the close coils of its crouching spiral, it can spring into the air and seize the bird upon the wing; all these creatures have been observed to fall its prey.

-Sir Richard Owen (1866)

Introduction

Snakes have been the object of endless human fascination throughout history, figuring prominently in the mythology of almost every culture (Greene et al. 1997). Scientific observers since Aristotle have pondered their locomotion, particularly their remarkable proficiency at so many locomotor modes despite lacking legs (Owen 1866; Nussbaum 1985). While early descriptions of snake locomotion were remarkably astute (Owen 1866; Hutchinson 1879; Mosauer 1930, 1935; Nussbaum 1985), the advent of modern biomechanical techniques has allowed us to rapidly increase our understanding of limbless locomotion (Gray 1946; Gray and Lissmann 1950; Gans 1962; Jayne 1986, 1988a, 1988b; Gasc and Gans 1990).

As our knowledge of snake locomotion has grown, they have shown themselves to be particularly adept at locomotion in cluttered, confined, and complex environments where limbed animals (and limbed and wheeled devices) struggle. Lateral undulation ("slithering") relies upon interactions with asperities in the environment to generate propulsive reaction

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology. All rights reserved. For permissions please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

forces, turning what would be obstacles to limbed animals into propulsive "push points" (Gray 1946; Gray and Lissmann 1950; Jayne 1986; Kelley et al. 1997). Correspondingly, snakes slithering through densely cluttered environments increase their speed substantially with increasing obstacle density (until the obstacles become so dense as to confine and restrict movement; Kelley et al. 1997), while limbed organisms slow down with increasing obstacle density and unevenness (Sponberg et al. 2008; Collins et al. 2013; Parker and McBrayer 2016; Gast et al. 2019). Snakes also excel at motion through narrow, confined spaces such as tunnels using concertina and rectilinear locomotion (Lissmann 1950; Jayne 1986; Javne and Davis 1991; Newman and Javne 2018), aided by both their naturally low cross-sectional area relative to their mass and their ability to move their ribs to accommodate the narrow environment. Finally, snakes also excel at motion in mechanically complex environments such as on or beneath sand (Jayne 1986; Marvi et al. 2014; Sharpe et al. 2015; Astley et al. 2015), which can behave as a solid or fluid depending on subtle differences in loading mechanics (Maladen et al. 2009; Gravish et al. 2010; Li et al. 2010), or arboreal environments (Astley and Jayne 2007, 2009; Jayne and Riley 2007; Byrnes and Jayne 2012, 2014; Jayne et al. 2014; Mauro and Jayne 2016; Jorgensen and Jayne 2017), which require negotiating substrates that are often narrow, inclined, curved, compliant, and discontinuous, with secondary obstacles (Cartmill 1985; Astley and Jayne 2009; Jayne et al. 2014).

Unsurprisingly, the benefits of limbless locomotion and the elongate, snake-like body plan have led to widespread convergence throughout animals. Within squamate reptiles alone, there are approximately two dozen independent convergences on an elongate, functionally limbless body form (Wiens et al. 2006), including within clades such as the armored Cordylids (Chamaesaura spp.) and even within Gekkota (Pygopodidae). Numerous fish species have converged upon an eel-like body plan (Mehta et al. 2010; Ward and Mehta 2010), and three lineages of Lissamphibians (thermal limitations preclude this body form in endotherms; Pough 1980). Many of these clades are quite ancient (Jenkins and Walsh 1993; Caldwell et al. 2015; Garberoglio et al. 2019), including three lineages of early tetrapods that became functionally limbless shortly after the origin of the tetrapod limb (Olson 1971; Andrews and Carroll 1991; Pardo et al. 2017). This astonishing number of convergences may make

the limbless, elongate body plan the most frequently converged-upon body plan in animals.

This frequency of convergence has given rise to a correspondingly high number of species, particularly within vertebrates. A brief survey of functionally limbless vertebrate taxa reveals an estimated 4300 species, only a few hundred behind the total of all non-flying mammals (Burgin et al. 2018; Uetz 2020). Indeed, when considered in proportional terms, terrestrial limbless species comprise 19% of all terrestrial vertebrates.

Despite this tremendous diversity of species and the functional benefits of the limbless body plan, our knowledge of the limbless locomotion is in its infancy. While earlier major works have laid the foundation (Mosauer 1932; Gray 1946; Gans 1962; Jayne 1986), there are still huge areas of unknowns. Fundamental properties such as the lever arms and contractile properties of the locomotor muscles are completely unknown and unexplored, as is the neural control of locomotion. As patchy as our knowledge of snake locomotion is, we know, still less about the locomotion of other limbless species. Indeed, searches on PubMed reveal that while walking and swimming generate tremendous numbers of hits (>73,000 and >19,000, respectively) and even flight generates almost 5000 hits, limbless locomotion (and various other, related queries) consistently return <400, indicating that despite comprising almost 20% of terrestrial vertebrates, limbless locomotion studies comprise <0.5% of the locomotion literature. Consequently, the articles in this symposium collection comprise ~2.5% of all scientific literature on the topic.

This collection of papers, arising from the first symposium of limbless locomotion ever held, includes contributions from many of the leading researchers in the field attempting to fill in some of these gaps and point us toward promising areas for exploration. These papers broadly fall along a spectrum from behavioral (locomotor modes and sensorimotor integration (Zamore et al. 2020; Jayne 2020; Tingle 2020)) through control of locomotion (Schiebel et al. Forthcoming; Kano and Ishiguro 2020; Fu et al. 2020) to locomotor mechanics and functional morphology (Astley 2020; Capano 2020; Redmann et al. 2020; Bergmann et al. 2020), though many papers straddle multiple categories. While snakes are both the most speciose and well-studied limbless vertebrates, a great deal of insight can come from other limbless taxa, with contributions on both limbless lizards (Bergmann et al. 2020) and eels

(Redmann et al. 2020). Finally, the exceptional locomotion abilities of limbless species have led to significant efforts to develop biomimetic snake robots (Walker et al. 2016). The high number of joints and interactions between the snakebot and substrate pose significant challenges to effective control but recent progress has been substantial, including decentralized control mechanisms (Kano and Ishiguro 2020).

Locomotor behavior

Limbless taxa use a wide range of locomotor modes, often in response to different environmental challenges (Mosauer 1932; Gray 1946; Gans 1975; Jayne 1986) but not all taxa are capable of all modes, nor is it always clear what exactly constitutes a distinct mode versus a variation on an existing mode. While the traditional understanding has divided terrestrial limbless locomotion into four broad modes (lateral undulation, sidewinding, concertina, and rectilinear), Jayne examines the recent data across species and environment to assess these divides (Jayne 2020). This overview shows a wide range of locomotor behaviors, some of which are difficult to place under traditional classifications, with implications for evolution, locomotor control and biomechanics, and habitat preferences (Jayne 2020). Among these modes, sidewinding has a particularly peculiar distribution across species. Traditionally regarded as being limited to a few viper species, as well as a homalopsid and nactricine water snake, the lack of data across many species makes it difficult to distinguish between multiple independent evolutions of sidewinding or simply lack of observations across most species (Tingle 2020). Tingle compiles the evidence from the literature and adds new experimental evidence to suggest that sidewinding and related behaviors are more common than previously appreciated (Tingle 2020).

Control and perception

These locomotor behaviors are ultimately the product of neural control, both at the high level of initiating particular locomotor behaviors and the lower level modulation of these behaviors in response to environmental feedback, though little is known about any of these processes in snakes. Zamore et al. provide insight into the visual perception of a highly visually-oriented snake species, the gliding tree snake, and how this species manages the perceptual demands of its unusual aerial locomotion (Zamore et al. 2020). Looking within locomotor behaviors, Schiebel et al. use mathematical analysis of body curvature over time and reaction forces on an obstacle to examine control in a sand specialist and a generalist (Schiebel et al. Forthcoming). They show that the sand-specialist uses a highly stereotyped waveform to maximize performance across uniform sand but often failed to generate propulsive force from the obstacle, while a generalist snake used aperiodic motions that resulted in lower performance on sand but were highly effective at generating propulsion from the obstacle (Schiebel et al. Forthcoming). Fu et al. tackled the under-examined area of vertical environmental structure, particularly how snakes tackle a vertical step (Fu et al. 2020). By using a combination of biological data and robotic modeling, they were able to discern the benefits of both body posture and body compliance in step traversal (Fu et al. 2020). Finally, Kano and Ishiguro discussed their previous work using a decentralized Tegotae-based control scheme that incorporates local environmental feedback to achieve exceptional replication of both snake kinematics and performance across multiple environments (Kano and Ishiguro 2020). This remarkable controller is capable of generating both lateral undulation and concertina locomotion purely in response to local environmental conditions (Kano and Ishiguro 2020).

Functional morphology

Neural control is crucial for effective locomotion but the neural signals must be translated into behaviors via the musculoskeletal system, and the properties of this system (and how it interacts with environmental physics) have a tremendous influence on the resulting behavior and performance (Tytell et al. 2011). Despite their superficially simple external morphology, limbless species display a wide range of varied morphologies, and the function of some universal structures is still not fully understood. Astley examines the consequences of the unique, highly multiarticular axial muscular system of snakes using mathematical tools from engineering, and finds that, for cantilevered gap bridging, the long tendons of arboreal colubroids confer a significant advantage in terms of performance (Astley 2020). Capano et al. expand beyond the vertebral column to consider the role of ribs in snake locomotion, particularly related to the dual demands of providing a deformable interface with the environment while also providing a firm structure for transmitting forces (Capano 2020).

Beyond snakes

While snakes have been the focus of most limbless locomotion research, they represent only a single clade, and tremendous insights can be gained from studying other limbless and functionally limbless taxa. While snakes are a very old and highly derived clade with numerous specializations for locomotion, feeding, and prey capture (Kardong 1977; Gasc 1981; Gans 1983, 1986; Kardong and Bels 1998; Caldwell et al. 2015; Garberoglio et al. 2019), these are absent in most other independent evolutions of limblessness, making these taxa particularly informative about the early evolution of limb reduction and loss. Bergmann et al. examine the evolution of the vertebrae and kinematics in limbless lizards and a basal snake, showing widespread convergence (Bergmann et al. 2020). Stepping beyond tetrapods, Ward et al. examine the motion of eels during the migrations between the sea and freshwater, which are often blocked by dams, requiring terrestrial locomotion to cross (Redmann et al. 2020). Eels moving on level substrates used lateral undulation, while those moving on inclines switched to concertina, which has not previously been described in fish (Redmann et al. 2020).

Slithering into the future

These collected papers represent significant new progress in the field of limbless locomotion, and we hope that this will spur further interest into this sadly neglected field of study. In addition to these topics, many other aspects of limbless locomotion remain nearly or completely unknown, such as sensory feedback during locomotion, muscle contractile properties, consequences of scaling, and the frequencies of various modes during natural behavior. But these gaps in the literature, frustrating though they may be, represent tremendous opportunities for discovery, particularly relative to more well-studied systems. While the map of our biomechanical knowledge has many blank spaces, few present greater opportunity for exploration than the one labeled "Here Be Serpents."

Funding

This symposium has been generously supported by the Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology, with financial contributions from the SICB Divisions of Comparative Biomechanics, Vertebrate Morphology, Animal Behavior, and Neurobiology, Neuroethology, and Sensory Biology. External funding was generously provided by grants from the Society for Experimental Biology and the Company of Biologists.

References

- Andrews SM, Carroll RL. 1991. The order Adelospondyli: Carboniferous lepospondyl amphibians. Trans R Soc Edinb Earth Sci 82:239–75.
- Astley HC. 2020. The Biomechanics of Multi-Articular Muscle-Tendon Systems in Snakes. Integr Comp Biol 60: 140–55.
- Astley HC, Gong C, Dai J, Travers M, Serrano MM, Vela PA, Choset H, Mendelson JR, Hu DL, Goldman DI. 2015. Modulation of orthogonal body waves enables high maneuverability in sidewinding locomotion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:6200–5.
- Astley HC, Jayne BC. 2007. Effects of perch diameter and incline on the kinematics, performance and modes of arboreal locomotion of corn snakes (*Elaphe guttata*). J Exp Biol 210:3862–72.
- Astley HC, Jayne BC. 2009. Arboreal habitat structure affects the performance and modes of locomotion of corn snakes (*Elaphe guttata*). J Exp Zool A Ecol Genet Physiol 311: 207–16.
- Bergmann PJ, Mann SDW, Morinaga G, Freitas ES, Siler CD. 2020. Convergent Evolution of Elongate Forms in Craniates and of Locomotion in Elongate Squamate Reptiles. Integr Comp Biol 60:190–201.
- Burgin CJ, Colella JP, Kahn PL, Upham NS. 2018. How many species of mammals are there?. J Mammal 99:1–14.
- Byrnes G, Jayne BC. 2012. The effects of three-dimensional gap orientation on bridging performance and behavior of brown tree snakes (*Boiga irregularis*). J Exp Biol 215:2611–20.
- Byrnes G, Jayne BC. 2014. Gripping during climbing of arboreal snakes may be safe but not economical. Biol Lett 10:20140434.
- Caldwell MW, Nydam RL, Palci A, Apesteguía S. 2015. The oldest known snakes from the Middle Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous provide insights on snake evolution. Nat Commun 6:1–11.
- Capano JG. 2020. Reaction forces and rib function during locomotion in snakes. Integr Comp Biol 60:215-31.
- Cartmill M. 1985. Climbing. In: Hildebrand M, Bramble DM, Liem KF, DB Wake, editors. Functional vertebrate morphology. Cambridge: Belknap Press. p. 73–88.
- Collins CE, Self JD, Anderson RA, McBrayer LD. 2013. Rockdwelling lizards exhibit less sensitivity of sprint speed to increases in substrate rugosity. Zoology 116:151–58.
- Fu Q, Gart SW, Mitchel TW, Kim JS, Chirikjian GS, Li C. 2020. Lateral Oscillation and Body Compliance Help Snakes and Snake Robots Stably Traverse Large, Smooth Obstacles. Integr Comp Biol 60:171–79.
- Gans C. 1962. Terrestrial locomotion without limbs. Am Zool 2:167–82.
- Gans C. 1975. Tetrapod limblessness: evolution and functional corollaries. Am Zool 15:455–67.
- Gans C. 1983. Snake feeding strategies and adaptations conclusion and prognosis. Am Zool 23:455–60.
- Gans C. 1986. Locomotion of limbless vertebrates: pattern and evolution. Herpetologica 42:33–46.
- Garberoglio FF, Apesteguía S, Simões TR, Palci A, Gómez RO, Nydam RL, Larsson HCE, Lee MSY, Caldwell MW. 2019. New skulls and skeletons of the Cretaceous legged

snake *Najash*, and the evolution of the modern snake body plan. Sci Adv 5:eaax5833.

- Gasc J-P, Gans C. 1990. Tests on locomotion of the elongate and limbless lizard *Anguis fragilis* (Squamata: Anguidae). Copeia 1990:1055.
- Gasc JP. 1981. Axial musculature. In: Gans C, Parsons TS, editors. Biology of the reptilia. New York: Academic Press. p. 355–435.
- Gast K, Kram R, Riemer R. 2019. Preferred walking speed on rough terrain: is it all about energetics?. J Exp Biol 222:jeb185447.
- Gravish N, Umbanhowar PB, Goldman DI. 2010. Force and flow transition in plowed granular media. Phys Rev Lett 105:128301.
- Gray J. 1946. The mechanism of locomotion in snakes. J Exp Biol 23:101–20.
- Gray J, Lissmann HW. 1950. The kinetics of locomotion of the grass snake. J Exp Biol 94:15–42.
- Greene HW, Fogden M, Fogden P. 1997. Snakes: the evolution of mystery. Berkeley (CA): Nature University of California Press.
- Hutchinson HF. 1879. About snakes. Nature 20:528-530.
- Jayne BC. 2020. What Defines Different Modes of Snake Locomotion? Integr Comp Biol 60:156–70.
- Jayne BC. 1986. Kinematics of terrestrial snake locomotion. Copeia 1986:915–208.
- Jayne BC. 1988a. Muscular mechanisms of snake locomotion: an electromyographic study of the sidewinding and concertina modes of *Crotalus cerastes*, *Nerodia fasciata* and *Elaphe obsoleta*. J Exp Biol 140:1–33.
- Jayne BC. 1988b. Muscular mechanisms of snake locomotion: an electromyographic study of lateral undulation of the Florida banded water snake (Nerodiafasciata) and the yellow rat snake (Elapheobsoleta). J Morphol 197:159–81.
- Jayne BC, Davis JD. 1991. Kinematics and performance capacity for the concertina locomotion of a snake (*Coluber constrictor*). J Exp Biol 156:539–56.
- Jayne BC, Lehmkuhl AM, Riley MA. 2014. Hit or miss: branch structure affects perch choice, behaviour, distance and accuracy of brown tree snakes bridging gaps. Anim Behav 88:233–41.
- Jayne BC, Riley MA. 2007. Scaling of the axial morphology and gap-bridging ability of the brown tree snake (*Boiga irregularis*). J Exp Biol 210:1148–60.
- Jenkins PA, Walsh DM. 1993. An early Jurassic caecilian with limbs. Nature 365:246–50.
- Jorgensen RM, Jayne BC. 2017. Three-dimensional trajectories affect the epaxial muscle activity of arboreal snakes crossing gaps. J Exp Biol 220:3545–55.
- Kano T, Ishiguro A. 2020. Decoding Decentralized Control Mechanism Underlying Adaptive and Versatile Locomotion of Snakes. Integr Comp Biol 60:232–47.
- Kardong KV. 1977. Kinesis of the jaw apparatus during swallowing in the cottonmouth snake. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Copeia 1977:338–48.
- Kardong KV, Bels VL. 1998. Rattlesnake strike behavior: kinematics. J Exp Biol 201:837–50.
- Kelley KC, Arnold SJ, Gladstone J. 1997. The effects of substrate and vertebral number on locomotion in the garter snake *Thamnophis elegans*. Funct Ecol 11:189–98.

- Li C, Umbanhowar PB, Komsuoglu H, Goldman DI. 2010. The effect of limb kinematics on the speed of a legged robot on granular media. Exp Mech 50:1383–93.
- Lissmann HW. 1950. Rectilinear locomotion in a snake (Boa occidentalis). J Exp Biol 26:368–79.
- Maladen RD, Ding Y, Li C, Goldman DI. 2009. Undulatory swimming in sand: subsurface locomotion of the sandfish lizard. Science 325:314–18.
- Marvi H, Gong C, Gravish N, Astley H, Travers M, Hatton RL, Mendelson JR, Choset H, Hu DL, Goldman DI. 2014. Sidewinding with minimal slip: snake and robot ascent of sandy slopes. Science 346:224–29.
- Mauro AA, Jayne CB. 2016. Perch compliance and experience affect destination choice of brown tree snakes (*Boiga irregularis*). Zoology 119:113–18.
- Mehta RS, Ward AB, Alfaro ME, Wainwright PC. 2010. Elongation of the Body in Eels. Integr Comp Biol 50:1091–1105.
- Mosauer W. 1930. A note on the sidewinding locomotion of snakes. Am Nat 64:179–83.
- Mosauer W. 1932. On the locomotion of snakes. Science 76:583-85.
- Mosauer W. 1935. The myology of the trunk region of snakes and its significance for ophidian taxonomy and phylogeny. Publ Univ Calif Los Angeles Biol Sci 1:81–120.
- Newman SJ, Jayne BC. 2018. Crawling without wiggling: muscular mechanisms and kinematics of rectilinear locomotion in boa constrictors. J Exp Biol 221:jeb.166199.
- Nussbaum MC. 1985. Aristotle's De Motu Animalium: text with translation, commentary, and interpretive essays. Princeton (NJ): Princeton University Press.
- Olson EC. 1971. A skeleton of *Lysorophus tricarinatus* (Amphibia: Lepospondyli) from the Hennessey Formation (Permian) of Oklahoma. J Paleontol 45:443–9.
- Owen R. 1866. On the anatomy of vertebrates: fishes and reptiles, on the anatomy of vertebrates longmans. London, UK: Green and Company.
- Pardo JD, Szostakiwskyj M, Ahlberg PE, Anderson JS. 2017. Hidden morphological diversity among early tetrapods. Nature 546:642–45.
- Parker SE, McBrayer LD. 2016. The effects of multiple obstacles on the locomotor behavior and performance of a terrestrial lizard. J Exp Biol 219:1004–13.
- Pough FH. 1980. The advantages of ectothermy for tetrapods. Am Nat 115:92–112.
- Redmann ER, Sheikh A, Alqahtani A, McCarty-Glenn M, Syed S, Mehta RS, Ward AB. 2020. Terrestrial locomotion in American eels (Anguilla rostrata): How substrate and incline affect movement patterns. Integr Comp Biol 60:180–89.
- Schiebel PE, Hubbard AM, Goldman DI. Forthcoming 2020. Comparative study of snake lateral undulation kinematics in model heterogeneous terrain. Integr Comp Biol.
- Sharpe SS, Koehler SA, Kuckuk RM, Serrano M, Vela PA, Mendelson J, Goldman DI 2015. Locomotor benefits of being a slender and slick sand-swimmer. J Exp Biol 218:440–50.
- Sponberg S, Full RJ, Full RJ, Biewener AA. 2008. Neuromechanical response of musculo-skeletal structures in cockroaches during rapid running on rough terrain. J Exp Biol 211:433–46.

- Tingle JL. 2020. Facultatively Sidewinding Snakes and the Origins of Locomotor Specialization. Integr Comp Biol 60:202–14.
- Tytell ED, Holmes P, Cohen AH. 2011. Spikes alone do not behavior make: why neuroscience needs biomechanics. Curr Opin Neurobiol 21:816–22.
- Uetz P. 2020. The reptile database (http://www.reptile-database.org/).
- Walker ID, Choset H, Chirikjian GS. 2016. Snake-like and continuum robots. In: Siciliano B, Khatib O, editors. Springer handbook of robotics. Cham: Springer International Publishing. p. 481–98.
- Ward AB, Mehta RS. 2010. Axial elongation in fishes: using morphological approaches to elucidate developmental mechanisms in studying body shape. Integr Comp Biol 50:1106–19.
- Wiens JJ, Brandley MC, Reeder TW. 2006. Why does a trait evolve multiple times within a clade? Repeated evolution of snakeline body form in squamate reptiles. Evolution (N Y) 60:123–41.
- Zamore SA, Araujo N, Socha JJ. Forthcoming 2020. Visual acuity in the flying snake Chrysopelea paradise. Integr Comp Biol.